RUBRIC BANK

Items Which Might Be Useful in Developing Your Own Rubric for a Discussion Lesson

Criteria	Level I	Level II	Level III	Level IV
Ability to Compromise	 An effort at finding a compromising solution is totally lacking. There is an adamant refusal to talk. There is no settlement, and the negotiating process is completely ignored. 	 A movement towards some sort of a compromise solution is made but not with ease. A willingness is shown by making only a miniscule settlement and negotiating halfheartedly. 	 There is a willingness to make a compromise. There is always movement towards agreeable settlements and negotiations. 	 There is a consistent willingness to compromise and create a viable solution. There is rapid movement to make intelligent settlements and friendly negotiations.
Ability to Impress Others	 An inadequate, if any, attempt is made to touch, sway, and influence. There is no excitement or promotion of any enthusiasm that is essential to comprehension. There is a failure to leave a trace on the mind and memory. 	 A meager attempt is made to touch, sway, and influence. There is a limited amount of excitement and promotion of enthusiasm that is essential to comprehension. There is only a partial impression on the mind and memory. 	 An adequate attempt is made to touch, sway, and influence. There is a substantial amount of excitement and promotion of enthusiasm that is essential to comprehension. An imprint is left on the mind and memory. 	 A successful attempt is made to touch, sway, and influence. There is a large degree of excitement and promotion of enthusiasm that is essential to comprehension. A lasting mark is left on the mind and memory.
Accuracy	 The information is vague and unclear. There is a complete lack of exactness and precision, indicating the text was not understood. 	 A moderate number of facts are stated to prove the accuracy of the text. Meager amounts of exact and precise information are given. 	 The text is supported with accurate facts and quotes. To a large degree, precise and exact information reflects comprehension. 	 A variety of quotes, facts, and references are made to prove accuracy of the text. The work is precise, exact, and perfectly understood.
Addresses Key Issues Effectively	 A minimal number or no key issues are presented. Accordingly, none of the important points are presented during speech. 	 A moderate number of key issues is presented. Of those, some are punched during the speech. 	 The key issues are addressed. The speaker emphasizes points as highlights during the speech. 	 The major and minor key issues are presented. The speaker varies the intensity when stressing the points that are relevant.

Analysis	 The topic is not examined and properly studied. The complex whole is not divided into separate, logical parts. Discoveries of its singular qualities, causes, effects, and motives that determine its true nature have not been addressed. 	 A fair attempt to study and examine the topic is made. The complex whole is partially divided into separate parts. Some discoveries of its singular qualities, causes, effects, and motives that determine its true nature are made. 	 The topic is examined and studied. The complex whole is divided into separate parts. Discoveries of its singular qualities, causes, effects, and motives that determine its true nature are evident. 	 The topic is thoroughly examined and studied. The complex whole is divided and subdivided into separate parts. Discoveries of its singular qualities, causes, effects, and motives that determine its true nature are revealed in depth.
Asks Quality Questions	 While engaged in group discussion, few to no questions are posed for further clarification. If a question is posed at all, it is off the mark or is used to clarify something that was clearly stated. 	 While engaged in group discussion, one or two questions are posed for further clarification. When the question is posed, it is used to clarify a superficial statement or touches upon higher-level thinking. 	 While engaged in group discussion, questions are posed that request deeper clarification. The questions provoke a discussion of higher-level thinking. 	 While engaged in group discussion, the questions posed require deep clarification. The questions are at such a high level of thinking that they often leave the group to ponder further research.
Clarity of Written Text	 The writing is difficult to comprehend and is wholly inadequate for what the writer is trying to express. The text is difficult to read and very vague. 	 The writing is slightly muddled, lacking a clear use of words. The reader has some difficulty following the text. 	 The writing is reasonably clear as most thoughts are described in a complete manner. Nearly all of the text is comprehended with ease. 	 The writing is superb and crystal-clear; each word accurately describes the thoughts and intentions of the author. The text is easy to follow and understand.

Content	 Incorrect, little, or no information is relevant to the topic. There is unclear or insufficient focus. A poor attempt at including any research is evident. 	 Only a brief and marginal amount of information is relevant to the topic. Some idea of the direction of the content or the story has been presented. A marginal amount of research is evident in portions of the work. 	 A sufficient amount of content is covered. The focus is a good one, and the reader/viewer has a clear concept of the direction. An adequate amount of research is evident throughout. 	 There is expansive coverage of information that provides various resources and views. A strong, clear focus is easily understood. An extensive amount of research is documented and displayed throughout.
Cooperation/ Collaboration	 No attempt is made to cooperate with other members of the group; the participation is minimal. The participation is usually done grudgingly and, overall, contact with others is avoided. 	 A meager attempt is made at working with other members of the group. Great vacillation is shown; one day there is participation and another day there is none. 	 There is participation in the group to the degree that others can count on this person's ideas and information. Cooperation and sensitivity are displayed in helping others. There is active participation while finishing assigned tasks. 	 The participation level is high; there is a contribution of a variety of information. There is active participation displayed by exerting leadership and keeping the group focused.
Critical Thinking	 The judgments are not rendered accurately and are not based on making good comparisons. There is a failure to evaluate fairly. Perception is deficient. 	 The judgments rendered attempt to be based on making good comparisons. Evaluation is made with a moderate amount of logic. A modest amount of perception is apparent. 	 The judgments rendered are accurately based on good comparisons. Evaluation is made fairly. A sense of perception is apparent. 	 The judgments rendered are superb and are accurately based on making detailed comparisons. Evaluation is made with extreme fairness. A keen sense of perception is apparent.

Describes the Problem	 The information does not reflect the dispute, the question at hand, or the point at issue. The information is deficient in describing the problem. There is a lack of detail to explain the problem. 	 The information briefly reflects the dispute, the question at hand, or the point at issue. The information is incomplete and barely describes the problem. The inquiry is partially detailed to explain the problem. 	 The information reflects the dispute, the question at hand, or the point at issue. Information is accurate and describes the problem. The inquiry is fairly detailed to explain the problem. 	 The information superbly reflects the dispute, the question at hand, or the point at issue. Information is highly accurate and describes the problem. The inquiry is precisely detailed and delineated to explain the problem.
Detail	 Little to no detail is used. The work leaves the reader unclear as to what the author is trying to express. 	 Sparse amounts of detail are integrated in the text. The reader is left with a superficial understanding of the content. 	 Frequent use of detail is used to support the images of the text. Details add a solid base for understanding the text. 	 Outstanding use of detail brings great depth and breadth to the material. The details bring the text to life.
Evaluation	 There is judgment and/or estimation using unsound methods. Accuracy in estimates and appraisals is missing. There is a failure to rate information using any logical method and to place values. 	 There is judgment and/or estimation within passable or modest bounds. There is a basic level of accuracy in estimates and appraisals. Evidence of placing values is sparse, and partial rating information uses limited methods. 	 There is judgment and/or estimation within reasonable bounds. There is accuracy in estimates and appraisals. There is evidence of placing values, and rating information uses prescribed methods. 	 There is extensive judgment and/or estimation. There is outstanding accuracy in estimates and appraisals. There is evidence of placing values, and rating information uses sophisticated methods.
Grammar/ Syntax	 There is an absence of acceptable grammar use, and there are fragments or run-on sentences. There is insufficient paragraphing, and the work is haphazardly organized. 	 There is a limited awareness and use of acceptable grammar; some correct sentence structure is used. There is a fair attempt at making paragraphs. 	 There is an adequate use of acceptable grammar. Proper sentence structure and paragraphing are used and executed nicely. 	 Grammar, syntax, and usage are noteworthy; both simple and complex sentences are used. Excellent paragraph skills are used throughout.

Highlights Essential Points Covered (Text)	 Essential points and highlights are completely missing. The text is unmarked; no effort was made to make the text comprehensible. The essential items remain undisclosed in the text. 	 A small portion of the essential points is highlighted. What was pinpointed makes a small portion of the text comprehensible. Few of the vital items of the text are emphasized in a visible fashion. 	 Most of the essential points are highlighted, clearly marked, and in evidence. The text is rendered understandable at first glance through the highlighting. The vital items of the text are competently emphasized in a visible fashion. 	 Every essential point that is covered in the text is expertly highlighted. The text is made instantly comprehensible, and all of the major points that make this understanding an easy exercise are presented with clarity and proficiency. The decisive highlighting stands out and allows the paramount items to be immediately grasped and thoroughly understood.
Knowledge Base	 Minimal to no research was done; scholarship is totally lacking. The work is vague, unclear, and insignificant. There is an absence of evidence to show a detailed effort and search for information. 	 Incomplete and brief research was done; it is just a step beyond being labeled "minimal." It reflects a significant amount of information. A meager effort is made. 	 There was an adequate amount of research done that provides pertinent information. It contains helpful details, is accurate, and presents the reader with substantial scholarship. It is reflective of a commendable effort. 	 There was a remarkable amount of research that shows great depth and breadth of topical area. It reflects outstanding scholarship, with superb detail and unique information. The effort made gives the work prominence and makes it distinguished.

Listening Skills	 There is an unwillingness to listen to others in the group. The words seem to simply pass on by, and comprehension is practically nil. There is an inattentiveness to what is being said; the ability to sit and listen is woefully inadequate. 	 Some attempt is made to listen to others, but the student either avoids or interrupts peers. Attention is given sporadically, which seems to indicate a minimal grasp of the material discussed. The attention skills wander at times; there is some trouble focusing. 	 Listening to others with courtesy is exhibited. There is a good understanding of most of the material that was being discussed, and there is only a minimal amount of information that escaped full comprehension. Due to good attention, there is an adequate understanding of material. 	 Actively listening to others with attention is demonstrated. Questions are asked of others for clarification and definition for complete understanding; comprehension is excellent. There is a respect for others, and full attention is given to what is being said and discussed.
Logical Thought	 There is a failure to provide evidence that logical thought was used. The information is insignificant and unclear, as there is a total lack of organizing thoughts and ideas into any form or categories. There is little to no supporting evidence. 	 A moderate amount of logical thought is presented. The reader can follow along, even though orderly categories are lacking in the structure. The information is somewhat scattered. 	 A good deal of evidence that logic was used and that ideas were thought out carefully is provided. The information can be followed with most categories clearly delineated. There is supporting evidence. 	 A superb and noteworthy amount of evidence that logical thought was used is provided. The information is concise, precise, clear, exact, and followed easily as the general categories are highly detailed. Supporting details are used throughout.
Mechanics of Writing	 Writing is inadequate. There are wholesale errors in spelling, usage, punctuation, and syntax. The writing is vague and unclear and makes understanding and comprehension a chore. 	 There is an average attempt at writing. There are several errors in syntax, spelling, usage, and punctuation that detract in a large measure from the work at hand. The writing is marginal at best; it is difficult to understand. 	 Writing is accurate and effective. There are a few errors in spelling, usage, and punctuation. Overall, the reader can understand and comprehend the material. 	 Writing is outstanding. There are no or few errors in syntax, spelling, usage, and punctuation. The work is superbly done and remarkable in clarity and purpose; it fulfills the objective totally.

Objectivity	 There is no attempt to be fair. There is an appearance of bias, and it seems to lack a candid approach. A bias is obvious, as the work is partial and personal. 	 Some fairness is presented. It attempts to be frank and honest. Some bias is presented at times. 	 The work shows fairness to a large degree. It is candid, up front, and honest. It is impartial, impersonal, and unbiased. 	 The work presents complete fairness. Remarkable honesty is displayed. Total impartiality that is unbiased and impersonal is exhibited.
Opinion	 The mark is totally missed; the idea of an opinion is nowhere in evidence. It is poorly prepared and inadequately thought out. It shows no understanding of the objective. 	 Some material that is indicative of opinion is in evidence. It is sporadic and sketchy; it needs a great deal of interpretation, because it was not fully prepared. It is brief and borders on mediocre in terms of the objective. 	 It is a decent and supportive presentation; the material follows the opinion in most instances. There are moments when ideas and thoughts stray, but focus and logic are used. There is a degree of clarity which meets the objective. 	 It is noteworthy for presenting a clear opinion. It is presented with strength and clear focus; it is evident in all facets of the material presented that everything is logically detailed and concisely organized. It is, overall, notable work that meets the objective.
Organization	 There is a total absence of organization. Everything is pushed together in a helter-skelter fashion with little or no semblance of order or cohesion; there are clumsy transitions between points. The end result of this effort is confusion, muddled thoughts, and lack of cohesion. 	 Some organization can be detected. It lacks cohesion; some degree of deciphering is needed to follow the material being presented; a great deal is generalized and lumped together; there are limited transitions between points. In this instance, the reader must work very hard to understand. 	 The organization is competent. It is accurately stylized, giving the work coherence and making for an effective flow; the material is easily followed; there are good transitions between points. It is a step-by-step creation that has excellent substance; the reader comes away satisfied and comprehending. 	 It is superbly organized. From the beginning to the end, it is a uniquely creative work that flows together with total coherence; it has excellent transitions between points. All sections and divisions are brilliantly delineated, with a comprehensive sweep and flow that enable immediate and accurate comprehension of the work.
Persuasive Ability	 The work seems to lack a thesis. The reader or listener is unable to follow the argument or point of view since the thesis was not developed. 	 There is minimal evidence of a thesis. The partial development of the thesis leaves the reader or listener with a limited ability to follow the argument or point of view. 	 The thesis is reasonably developed. The reader or listener can follow the argument or point of view to the extent that the thesis has been developed. 	 The thesis is outstanding and highly effective. The reader or listener easily grasps and understands the argument or point of view of the fully developed thesis.

 Little to no explanation is given to support the points. 	Few points are made to resolve the issue.Incomplete explanation is given to support the points.	 information. Points are made to resolve the issue. Explanation is given to support the points. 	 accurate information. A wide variety of points is made to resolve the issue. An in-depth explanation is given to support the points.
 The speaker/author fails to answer the questions properly. The knowledge is lacking to give an adequate answer, and this, in turn, results in a poor performance. 	 Some attempt is made to answer questions. The sparse knowledge base impedes the delivery, even though a modest attempt was made to give answers. 	 Questions are answered with supportive statements. This reveals that an adequate amount of research was done and that the knowledge base was strong. 	 Questions are answered with excellent supportive depth and breadth. Research and knowledge base are both excellent, and the topic was adequately expanded.
 There is no effort to respond to what the speaker is saying. There is no recollection of any major point made. 	 There is a slight response; listening is limited to a few sporadic moments. There is a recollection of only some slight and minor details. 	 There is evidence of listening attentively to what the speaker is saying and responding accordingly much of the time. There is a recollection of a large portion of the content. 	 There is evidence of listening attentively and responding thoughtfully to the speaker all of the time. There is total retention of all major points and a full recollection of the content.
 There is no answer, or the solution fails to consider a significant part of the problem. Little or no strategy is given to show thinking skills or prove the line of reasoning. 	 The answer is presented without any support. An attempt to describe a strategy is made, or a wrong answer is given with an inappropriate strategy or line of reasoning and thought. 	 The answer is essentially correct with most of it being supported. A strategy is essentially correct with most of it being supported. 	 The answer is correct, and the solution is supported in detail. A perfect and clearly delineated strategy shows a high level of thinking skills and defined reasoning.
•	given to support the points. The speaker/author fails to answer the questions properly. The knowledge is lacking to give an adequate answer, and this, in turn, results in a poor performance. There is no effort to respond to what the speaker is saying. There is no recollection of any major point made. There is no answer, or the solution fails to consider a significant part of the problem. Little or no strategy is given to show thinking skills or prove the line of	 Little to no explanation is given to support the points. The speaker/author fails to answer the questions properly. The knowledge is lacking to give an adequate answer, and this, in turn, results in a poor performance. There is no effort to respond to what the speaker is saying. There is no recollection of any major point made. There is no answer, or the solution fails to consider a significant part of the problem. Little or no strategy is given to show thinking skills or prove the line of 	Little to no explanation is given to support the points.given to support the points.support the points.The speaker/author fails to answer the questions properly. The knowledge is lacking to give an adequate answer, and this, in turn, results in a poor performanceSome attempt is made to answer questions. The sparse knowledge base impedes the delivery, even though a modest attempt was made to give answersQuestions are answered with supportive statements. This reveals that an adequate amount of research was done and that the knowledge base was strong.There is no effort to respond to what the speaker is saying. There is no recollection of any major point madeThere is a slight response; listening is limited to a few sporadic moments. There is a recollection of only some slight and minor detailsThere is evidence of listening attentively to what the speaker is saying and responding accordingly much of the time. There is a recollection of only some slight and minor detailsThere is a recollection of a large portion of the content.There is no answer, or the solution fails to consider a significant part of the problem. Little or no strategy is given to show thinking skills or prove the line of.The answer is presented without any support. A nattempt to describe a strategy is made, or a wrong answer is given with an imappropriate strategy or line of resconing and thought orrect with most of it being supportedThe answer is descentally correct with most of it being supported.

Supporting Evidence	 There is a complete absence of any supporting evidence, which renders the work superfluous. The material is lacking in scholarship. 	 A moderate amount of evidence is provided to support the work/performance. Some of the material is worthy, and it does indicate a minimal effort at scholarship. 	 A substantial amount of evidence is provided to support the work. Much of it is first-rate, adding substance and a great deal of scholarship to the effort. 	 A superabundant amount of evidence is provided to support the work/performance. All is excellent, first-rate, prime material at the highest level of scholarship.
Synthesis	 Little to no thought and reflection are used. The information is not put together properly to design a new whole. Substantiation to explain what has been created is omitted. 	 Brief thought and reflection are used. There are attempts to put information together to design a new whole. It tries to substantiate what has been created. 	 Thought and reflection are used. The information is put together to design a new whole. It substantiates what has been created. 	 Deep thought and reflection are used. The information is put together extensively to design a new whole. It substantiates what has been created in an outstanding way.
Understanding/ Comprehension	 There is little to no understanding of the piece. There is no attempt to interpret the substance or the implications of what the piece is expressing. 	 Some understanding of the piece is evident. A brief or moderate attempt is made to interpret the substance and implications of what the piece is expressing. 	 Reasonable understanding of the piece is quite evident. There is adequate interpretation of the implications and substance of what is being expressed. 	 There is a superior display of understanding of the piece. A remarkable ability to interpret the entire substance and implications of the piece is evident.
Use of Defined Terms	 It fails to define any of the terms. There is a total lack of the ability to use them accurately within the parameters of the text. 	 Some knowledge of the terms is present. Many lack definition, and many are used incorrectly within the text. 	 The terms are discussed with a firm knowledge base. Almost all are used properly in relation to the rest of the text. 	 It displays outstanding ability to use and integrate the terms in the text. It uses terms accurately and with supportive materials.

Uses Respectful Language	 There is a total lack of conscious effort to use respectful language. The words and vocabulary are not used with kindness or sensitivity. The writing or spoken word lacks consideration, and the result is that one is not impelled to read or listen. 	 Some effort is made to use language that is respectful and not offensive. A small portion of the vocabulary projects sensitivity toward the reader or listener. The writing or spoken word is somewhat considerate and tries to initiate continued listening or reading. 	 The language is respectful of the reader/audience throughout. The words and vocabulary show sensitivity and understanding toward all humanity. The writing or spoken word is considerate and evokes interest in the reading or the listening. 	 There is a tone to the language that is highly respectful. Brilliant word choice and vocabulary present sensitivity toward humanity. The writing is highly considerate and quickly propels the reader through every page or captivates the listener.
Vocabulary	 There is little to no evidence of a range of vocabulary. It lacks understanding of what the words mean. The use of words is limited. 	 There is a limited or moderate range of vocabulary. There is a minimal understanding of some words. Some of the words are used correctly. 	 It demonstrates a solid to average range of vocabulary. The definitions are understood. The vocabulary is in the proper context. 	 It demonstrates a full range and wide variety of vocabulary. Total comprehension of the words is evident. It excels at the usage of the words.
Voice Projection	 There is no projection of the voice; very little effort is made to speak loudly and clearly. The audience cannot hear the voice; therefore, they do not pay attention. The voice does not carry across the room. 	 Some attempt is made to project the voice. The listener must vacillate between hearing well and hearing poorly; therefore, it is hard to pay attention. The voice does not carry well. 	 A reasonable attempt at projecting one's voice is made. The audience listens with ease and is attentive. The voice carries the distance. 	 The projection of the voice is loud and clear. The audience is attentive since it is easy to hear and respond to the emotions of the words. The voice is heard throughout the room.